| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|--|--|
| | 10/604,293 | DIXON |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Andrew W. Johns | 2624 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: <u>amended</u> | | |
| (1) <u>Andrew W. Johns, examiner</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) Patrick Yoder, applicant's representative. | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 16 May 2007 | Time: <u>9:40am</u> | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicate Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | ant's representative) | · |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: Obviousness-type double patenting rejection | | |
| Claims discussed: None | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: None | | |
| Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet | RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS | 3 DISCUSSED: |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar | e examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
| | | |
| | | |
| AND NEW W. JOHNS PRIMARY EXAMINER | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03) Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner acknowledged applicant's comments filed 23 April 2007 requesting that the provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection be withdrawn, as the case should be otherwise in condition for allowance. However, Examiner pointed out that the co-pending application had already been allowed when the provisional rejection was made and has in fact subsequently issued. Therefore, the obviousness-type double patenting rejection cannot be withdrawn and a terminal disclaimer is required to overcome the rejection. Applicant's representative agreed, and indicated that a terminal disclaimer would be prepared and submitted..